



# **ALACHUA COUNTY**

## ***Budget and Fiscal Services***

### ***Procurement***

Larry M. Sapp, CPPB  
Procurement Manager


Darryl R. Kight, CPPB  
Procurement Supervisor

August 18, 2022

### **MEMORANDUM**

**To:** Larry M. Sapp, Procurement Manager

**Via:** Darryl R. Kight, Procurement Supervisor 

**From:** Mandy Mullins, Procurement Agent I 

**Subject:** **Intent to Award**  
**RFA 22-333-MM Waste Reduction Grant**

Solicitation Opening Date: 2:00 PM, Wednesday, June 22, 2022

Solicitation View Count: 449 Vendors

Solicitations Downloaded by: 8 Vendors

Solicitations Submissions: 5 Vendors

### **Applicants:**

Beaten Path Compost  
Gainesville, FL 32609  
Food Waste Hauling and Composting

Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc  
Gainesville, FL 32601  
Litter Reduction Task Force

The Repurpose Project  
Gainesville, FL 32641  
Vehicle Purchase

Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc  
Gainesville, FL 32601  
Roadside Waste Removal

The Repurpose Project  
Gainesville, FL 32641  
Transfer Station Reusable Material

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

The board approve the Evaluation Committee's awarded applicants and funding recommendations (100 % Funding) and authorize staff to negotiate agreement(s) with the top 3 ranked applicants below for **RFA 22-333-MM Waste Reduction Grant**.

1. Beaten Path Compost, Food Waste Hauling and Composting
2. The Repurpose Project, Vehicle Purchase
3. The Repurpose Project, Transfer Station Reusable Material

The actual RFA award is subject to the appropriate signature authority identified in the Procurement Code.

Larry M. Sapp  
Larry M. Sapp (Aug 18, 2022 20:22 EDT)

Aug 18, 2022

Approved  
Larry M. Sapp, CPM, CPPB  
Procurement Manager

Date

Disapproved  
Larry M. Sapp, CPM, CPPB  
Procurement Manager

## Public Meeting Minutes

### RFA 22-333-MM Waste Reduction Grant

Date: August 18, 2022

Start Time: 1:02 PM

Location: Transfer Station Conference Room, 5115 NE 63rd Ave, Gainesville, FL 32609

#### 1. **Call Meeting to Order**

#### 2. **RFA Process Overview for Today's Meeting**

- 2.1. Good afternoon, I am Tj, White, and Mandy Mullins with Procurement, and I will be administrating this meeting as the Committee Chair (non-voting member), introduce committee, Gus Olmos (Leader), Jeff Klugh, Joshua Prouty, Charlie Hobson
- 2.2. Thank you, committee for taking the time out of your busy schedule to evaluate these proposals. Welcome to the citizen attending this Public Meeting; this meeting is open to the public and you will have an announced time (3 minutes; no response required) for public comments. Please review the agenda that is on the screen.
- 2.3. The RFA team will be evaluating vendors' applications, discussing their scores, and approving the Team's award. This Team's final award and funding recommendation will be submitted to the BoCC for their approval and authorization to negotiate a contract.

#### 3. **RFA Committee Members Process Instructions**

- 3.1. **First**, in OPENGGOV, all evaluators have certified that they have no Conflict of Interest, and I will show them on screen, discuss if necessary.
- 3.2. **Second**, due to the cone-of-silence imposed on the committee members, this is the first occasion members have been able to talk and work together as a committee.
- 3.3. *As committee members you have broad latitude in your discussions, deliberations and ranking provided you are not arbitrary and capricious.*
- 3.4. **Third**, we will record and discuss the preliminary scores on the screen. Call for validation of scores to ensure they are the scores the members entered in OPENGGOV.

Vendor	Charles Hobson	Jeffery Klugh	Agustin Olmos	Joshua Prouty	Total Score (Max Score 100)
Beaten Path Compost	85	80	90	87	85.5
Keep Alachua County Beautiful, I...	30	17	70	92	52.25
Keep Alachua County Beautiful, I...	30	60	50	55	48.75
The Repurpose Project	70	75	80	50	68.75
The Repurpose Project, Inc	85	80	90	35	72.5

- 3.5. The team will discuss, evaluate, and rank all vendor submittals one by one. Starting the team leader allow each member to give feedback. (**Encourage dialog**)
  - 3.5.1. Discuss scores and make Changes if pertinent
  - 3.5.2. Discussion record and Update: **Evaluation Scores**
    - 3.5.2.1. Encourage discussion on the proposals, scoring and until all members are stratified
    - 3.5.2.2. NOTE: Agents will monitor the discussion, keep it on track; keep it on topic.
    - 3.5.2.3. Call for validation of RFA team Evaluation Scores for the Team's Final award and

funding recommendation.

4. Motion for Award and Funding: Jeff Klugh motioned to approve the awarded applicants and funding recommendations (100% Funding) with the top 3 ranked applicants, Beaten Path Compost, The Repurpose Project, Inc, The Repurpose Project and ask the BOCC to approve contract negotiations with those vendors, seconded by Charles Hobson.  
Vote 4-0 in favor.
5. Public Comments (3 minutes): No Public Comment
6. Motion to Approve the Meeting Minutes: Gus Olmos moved to approve the Minutes, Joshua Prouty seconded the motion.  
Vote 4-0 in favor.
7. Meeting Adjourn at – 1:43 p.m.



Alachua County, Florida  
**Procurement**  
Larry M. Sapp, Procurement Manager  
County Administration Building, Gainesville, FL 32601

## EVALUATION TABULATION

RFA No. RFA 22-333-MM

Waste Reduction Grant

RESPONSE DEADLINE: June 22, 2022 at 2:00 pm

Report Generated: Thursday, August 18, 2022

### CONSENSUS SCORECARD SUMMARY

Vendor	<u>Alignment with the County's Zero Waste Plan: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.)</u> Points Based 40 Points (40%)	<u>Waste Reduction: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.)</u> Points Based 40 Points (40%)	<u>Reliance on Grant Funds: (Scoring range: 0 – 20 pts.)</u> Points Based 20 Points (20%)	Total Score (Max Score 100)
Beaten Path Compost	-	-	-	-
Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	-	-	-	-
Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	-	-	-	-
The Repurpose Project	-	-	-	-
The Repurpose Project, Inc	-	-	-	-

### CONSENSUS SCORECARD DETAILS

#### Beaten Path Compost

Alignment with the County's Zero Waste Plan: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)

Description:

How closely do the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application align with those in the County's Zero Waste Plan?

**Waste Reduction: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)**

**Description:**

How much solid waste, by weight, will the proposed waste reduction activities prevent from reaching a landfill annually?

If multiple waste types are being targeted by the waste reduction activities proposed in the Grant application, these weights should be broken down by the applicant by type (e.g. food waste, C&D material, recyclables, etc.).

**Reliance on Grant Funds: (Scoring range: 0 – 20 pts.) | Points Based | 20 Points (20%)**

**Description:**

Will the solid waste reduction activities proposed in the Grant application be able to continue on beyond the life of the Grant?

The business plan provided by the applicant in their Grant application should detail how Grant funds will be replaced by future revenues, or will no longer be necessary, to continue the waste reduction activities proposed in the Grant application.

**Total Score:**

**Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.**

**Alignment with the County's Zero Waste Plan: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)**

**Description:**

How closely do the waste reduction activities proposed in the Grant application align with those in the County's Zero Waste Plan?

**Waste Reduction: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)**

**Description:**

How much solid waste, by weight, will the proposed waste reduction activities prevent from reaching a landfill annually?

If multiple waste types are being targeted by the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application, these weights should be broken down by the applicant by type (e.g. food waste, C&D material, recyclables, etc.).

**Reliance on Grant Funds: (Scoring range: 0 – 20 pts.) | Points Based | 20 Points (20%)**

**Description:**

Will the solid waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application be able to continue on beyond the life of the Grant?

The business plan provided by the applicant in their Grant application should detail how Grant funds will be replaced by future revenues, or will no longer be necessary, to continue the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application.

**Total Score:**

**Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.**

**Alignment with the County's Zero Waste Plan: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)**

**Description:**

How closely do the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application align with those in the County's Zero Waste Plan?

**Waste Reduction: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)**

**Description:**

How much solid waste, by weight, will the proposed waste reduction activities activities prevent from reaching a landfill annually?

If multiple waste types are being targeted by the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application, these weights should be broken down by the applicant by type (e.g. food waste, C&D material, recyclables, etc.).

**Reliance on Grant Funds: (Scoring range: 0 – 20 pts.) | Points Based | 20 Points (20%)**

Description:

Will the solid waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application be able to continue on beyond the life of the Grant?

The business plan provided by the applicant in their Grant application should detail how Grant funds will be replaced by future revenues, or will no longer be necessary, to continue the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application.

**Total Score:**

### The Repurpose Project

Alignment with the County's Zero Waste Plan: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)

Description:

How closely do the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application align with those in the County's Zero Waste Plan?

Waste Reduction: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)

Description:

How much solid waste, by weight, will the proposed waste reduction activities activities prevent from reaching a landfill annually?

If multiple waste types are being targeted by the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application, these weights should be broken down by the applicant by type (e.g. food waste, C&D material, recyclables, etc.).

Reliance on Grant Funds: (Scoring range: 0 – 20 pts.) | Points Based | 20 Points (20%)

Description:

Will the solid waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application be able to continue on beyond the life of the Grant?



The business plan provided by the applicant in their Grant application should detail how Grant funds will be replaced by future revenues, or will no longer be necessary, to continue the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application.

**Total Score:**

**The Repurpose Project, Inc**

**Alignment with the County's Zero Waste Plan: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)**

**Description:**

How closely do the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application align with those in the County's Zero Waste Plan?

**Waste Reduction: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)**

**Description:**

How much solid waste, by weight, will the proposed waste reduction activities activities prevent from reaching a landfill annually?

If multiple waste types are being targeted by the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application, these weights should be broken down by the applicant by type (e.g. food waste, C&D material, recyclables, etc.).

**Reliance on Grant Funds: (Scoring range: 0 – 20 pts.) | Points Based | 20 Points (20%)**

**Description:**

Will the solid waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application be able to continue on beyond the life of the Grant?

The business plan provided by the applicant in their Grant application should detail how Grant funds will be replaced by future revenues, or will no longer be necessary, to continue the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application.

**Total Score:**

### VENDOR QUESTIONNAIRE PASS/FAIL

Question Title	Beaten Path Compost	Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	The Repurpose Project	The Repurpose Project, Inc
Acknowledge that you have reviewed all Addendum(s) issued with this solicitation.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Alachua County Government Minimum Wage	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Drug Free Workplace	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Conflict of Interest	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
You have reviewed and completed all the required submittal requirements..	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Waste Reduction Grant Application	No Response	No Response	No Response	No Response	No Response
Applicant Information	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Is the entity applying a non-governmental non-profit or for-profit entity registered in, and in good standing with, the State of Florida?	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Does the entity applying have a business address located in Alachua County, FL?	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

EVALUATION TABULATION  
RFA No. RFA 22-333-MM  
Waste Reduction Grant

---

Question Title	Beaten Path Compost	Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	The Repurpose Project	The Repurpose Project, Inc
Is the entity applying involved in one of the below activities?	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Provide a detailed description of the Waste Reduction activities for which grant funds are being requested.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
How many tons of solid waste are estimated to be diverted from the landfill by these Waste Reduction activities on an annual basis? If more than one type of waste (e.g. food waste, C&D materials, recyclables, etc.) will be diverted, please provide tonnages for each type.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Provide an estimated timeline for the implementation of these Waste Reduction activities.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

EVALUATION TABULATION  
RFA No. RFA 22-333-MM  
Waste Reduction Grant

---

Question Title	Beaten Path Compost	Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	The Repurpose Project	The Repurpose Project, Inc
Provide a business plan which includes information about how these Waste Reduction activities can sustain themselves beyond the life of the grant.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
If the Waste Reduction activities for which grant funds are being requested will require funding outside of the Waste Reduction Grant in order to become viable, please detail how the additional funding will be acquired and whether or not the additional funding has already been secured.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Provide a detailed response to how these solid Waste Reduction activities aligns with the Alachua County Zero Waste Plan.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

EVALUATION TABULATION  
RFA No. RFA 22-333-MM  
Waste Reduction Grant

---

Question Title	Beaten Path Compost	Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	The Repurpose Project	The Repurpose Project, Inc
What is the total amount requested by the entity through this grant application? Please include a detailed breakdown of how the funds potentially provided through this grant would be used.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Is the applying entity willing to accept partial funding of their grant request if the Solid Waste and Resource Recovery Department, which administers this grant, opts to only partially fund the grant request.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Upload Supplemental Information (if applicable)	No Response	Pass	Pass	No Response	No Response

## PHASE 1

### EVALUATORS

Name	Title	Agreement Accepted On
Charles Hobson	MRF Manager	Jun 23, 2022 9:28 AM

EVALUATION TABULATION  
RFA No. RFA 22-333-MM  
Waste Reduction Grant

---

Name	Title	Agreement Accepted On
Jeffery Klugh	Assistant Waste Collection & Alternatives Manager	Jul 7, 2022 7:15 AM
Agustin Olmos	Director	Jun 23, 2022 8:43 AM
Joshua Prouty	Hazardous Waste Coordinator	Jun 23, 2022 7:07 AM

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

Criteria	Description	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Alignment with the County's Zero Waste Plan: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.)	How closely do the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application align with those in the County's Zero Waste Plan?	Points Based	40 (40% of Total)
Waste Reduction: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.)	How much solid waste, by weight, will the proposed waste reduction activities activities prevent from reaching a landfill annually?  If multiple waste types are being targeted by the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application, these weights should be broken down by the applicant by type (e.g. food waste, C&D material, recyclables, etc.).	Points Based	40 (40% of Total)

EVALUATION TABULATION  
RFA No. RFA 22-333-MM  
Waste Reduction Grant

---

Criteria	Description	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Reliance on Grant Funds: (Scoring range: 0 – 20 pts.)	<p>Will the solid waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application be able to continue on beyond the life of the Grant?</p> <p>The business plan provided by the applicant in their Grant application should detail how Grant funds will be replaced by future revenues, or will no longer be necessary, to continue the waste reduction activities activities proposed in the Grant application.</p>	Points Based	20 (20% of Total)

### AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Charles Hobson	Jeffery Klugh	Agustin Olmos	Joshua Prouty	Total Score (Max Score 100)
Beaten Path Compost	85	80	90	87	85.5
Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	30	17	70	92	52.25
Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	30	60	50	55	48.75
The Repurpose Project	70	75	80	50	68.75
The Repurpose Project, Inc	85	80	90	35	72.5

### VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Vendor	<u>Alignment with the County's Zero Waste Plan: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.)</u> Points Based 40 Points (40%)	<u>Waste Reduction: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.)</u> Points Based 40 Points (40%)	<u>Reliance on Grant Funds: (Scoring range: 0 – 20 pts.)</u> Points Based 20 Points (20%)	Total Score (Max Score 100)
Beaten Path Compost	38.3	27.5	19.8	85.5
Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	22	18.3	12	52.25
Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.	18.8	17.5	12.5	48.75
The Repurpose Project	32.5	22.5	13.8	68.75
The Repurpose Project, Inc	32.5	23.8	16.3	72.5

## INDIVIDUAL PROPOSAL SCORES

Beaten Path Compost	
Alignment with the County's Zero Waste Plan: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.)   Points Based   40 Points (40%)	
Charles Hobson: 35	
Jeffery Klugh: 40	
This proposal does align with the plan and will increase the organics-recycling infrastructure in Alachua County.	
Agustin Olmos: 40	
Joshua Prouty: 38	
Waste Reduction: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.)   Points Based   40 Points (40%)	
Charles Hobson: 30	



Jeffery Klugh: 20

While the initial tonnages are not huge, the potential to increase the tons of diverted food waste will increase as the infrastructure develops.

Agustin Olmos: 30

Joshua Prouty: 30

Small scale pilot with only 1 truck this will be minimal landfill diversion but more of a proof of concept.

#### Reliance on Grant Funds: (Scoring range: 0 – 20 pts.) | Points Based | 20 Points (20%)

Charles Hobson: 20

Jeffery Klugh: 20

The submitted business plan does state that future growth fueled by the grant will be able to sustain the operations funded by the grant.

Agustin Olmos: 20

Joshua Prouty: 19

This is a one time capital equipment purchase so their collection activities will continue without future grants.

#### Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.

#### Alignment with the County's Zero Waste Plan: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)

Charles Hobson: 10

Jeffery Klugh: 10

The plan only mentions a litter-reduction ordinance, not specifically litter cleanup

Agustin Olmos: 30

Joshua Prouty: 38

**Waste Reduction: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)**

Charles Hobson: 10

Jeffery Klugh: 5

While beneficial to the environment, this plan may actually increase the material heading to the landfill. The recyclable litter collected will be diverted, which would normally be disposed of in a landfill.

Agustin Olmos: 20

Joshua Prouty: 38

45 tons of waste reduced annually is a good number for this type of project with the added benefit of cleaning roadways and preventing stormwater pollution.

**Reliance on Grant Funds: (Scoring range: 0 – 20 pts.) | Points Based | 20 Points (20%)**

Charles Hobson: 10

Jeffery Klugh: 2

The submitted business plan fails to mention the continuation of the activities after the life of the grant. While the equipment will still be available, the largest allocation of funds will be for staff salaries, which will need to be sustained.

Agustin Olmos: 20

Joshua Prouty: 16

This proposal does get matching funds from other sources and while a large portion goes to cover salaries the purchase of atv is durable and will be available for future projects. Volunteer hours are another possible source of continuing this project in the future.

**Keep Alachua County Beautiful, Inc.**

**Alignment with the County's Zero Waste Plan: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)**

Charles Hobson: 10

Jeffery Klugh: 20

The goals stated to line up somewhat with the plan, but focusing on litter may not have the desired effect on waste reduction as a whole.

Agustin Olmos: 20

Joshua Prouty: 25

Plan mostly seems to be a duplication of the County's zero waste education and outreach.

#### Waste Reduction: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)

Charles Hobson: 10

Jeffery Klugh: 20

Focusing on litter prevention may not have the desired effect on waste reduction as a whole.

Agustin Olmos: 20

Joshua Prouty: 20

No quantifiable waste reduction in this plan as it focuses on education and outreach.

#### Reliance on Grant Funds: (Scoring range: 0 – 20 pts.) | Points Based | 20 Points (20%)

Charles Hobson: 10

Jeffery Klugh: 20

No plans to continue task force after initial grant term.

Agustin Olmos: 10

Joshua Prouty: 10

Heavily reliant on grant funding to continue activities with the majority going to advertising and outreach.

### The Repurpose Project

#### Alignment with the County's Zero Waste Plan: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)

Charles Hobson: 30

Jeffery Klugh: 35

Applicant was able to show alignment with ZWP on multiple sections

Agustin Olmos: 40

Joshua Prouty: 25

This plan does align with the county's zero waste plan to divert useable materials. It fails to identify how to handle customer interaction for those who don't wish to divert or how potential private information would be protected.

#### Waste Reduction: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)

Charles Hobson: 25

Jeffery Klugh: 20

Research pilot study, actual tonnages not known

Agustin Olmos: 30

Joshua Prouty: 15

Indeterminate estimate of waste reduction as this is a pilot program for diverting useable materials.

#### Reliance on Grant Funds: (Scoring range: 0 – 20 pts.) | Points Based | 20 Points (20%)

Charles Hobson: 15

Jeffery Klugh: 20

Grant funds are used to fund the study only.

Agustin Olmos: 10

Joshua Prouty: 10

This plan relies on grant funding for salaries so it is unclear how it will continue beyond the grant. It also essentially appears to be an exclusive salvage contract in which the grantee is paid by the county to divert any and all saleable material to their facility for sale. This may be a model the county chooses to explore in the future but it should be made as an open to the public salvage bid.

### The Repurpose Project, Inc

#### Alignment with the County's Zero Waste Plan: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)

Charles Hobson: 35

Jeffery Klugh: 40

The applicant was able to show how the project aligns with the ZWP on multiple fronts.

Agustin Olmos: 40

Joshua Prouty: 15

This has a potential for diverting useable materials from the landfill but is essentially a junk hauling business plan which is already done by several other private entities throughout the county. Other junk haulers already divert useable (metal, furniture, car batteries, etc.) or saleable materials before going to the transfer station.

#### Waste Reduction: (Scoring range: 0 – 40 pts.) | Points Based | 40 Points (40%)

Charles Hobson: 35

Jeffery Klugh: 20

While the tonnage is relatively low, the volume of the bulky waste diverted will be beneficial.

Agustin Olmos: 30

Joshua Prouty: 10

The plan fails to identify or estimate a quantifiable amount of materials that are diverted from the landfill in a typical cleanout.

#### Reliance on Grant Funds: (Scoring range: 0 – 20 pts.) | Points Based | 20 Points (20%)

Charles Hobson: 15

Jeffery Klugh: 20

No need for Grant funds after initial infusion.

Agustin Olmos: 20

Joshua Prouty: 10

The purchase of a vehicle would be a durable item that would last beyond the grant. This could have the appearance of using taxpayer dollars to subsidize a private junk hauling/thrift store business to the exclusion of other similar businesses in the area that do similar work (Haven Hospice, Goodwill, Habitat for Humanity)










# ITA RFA 22-333-MM Waste Reduction Grant

Final Audit Report

2022-08-19

Created:	2022-08-18
By:	Mandy Mullins (mmmullins@alachuacounty.us)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAAkqzW2l21yYACjeSeTVDpzC_518PKH8Xj

## "ITA RFA 22-333-MM Waste Reduction Grant" History

-  Document created by Mandy Mullins (mmmullins@alachuacounty.us)  
2022-08-18 - 9:47:38 PM GMT- IP address: 163.120.80.69
-  Document emailed to Darryl Kight (dkight@alachuacounty.us) for signature  
2022-08-18 - 9:48:28 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by Darryl Kight (dkight@alachuacounty.us)  
2022-08-18 - 9:48:46 PM GMT- IP address: 66.231.154.174
-  Document e-signed by Darryl Kight (dkight@alachuacounty.us)  
Signature Date: 2022-08-18 - 9:49:47 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 66.231.154.174
-  Document emailed to lsapp@alachuacounty.us for signature  
2022-08-18 - 9:49:48 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by lsapp@alachuacounty.us  
2022-08-19 - 0:21:16 AM GMT- IP address: 149.19.43.13
-  Signer lsapp@alachuacounty.us entered name at signing as Larry M. Sapp  
2022-08-19 - 0:22:34 AM GMT- IP address: 149.19.43.13
-  Document e-signed by Larry M. Sapp (lsapp@alachuacounty.us)  
Signature Date: 2022-08-19 - 0:22:36 AM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 149.19.43.13
-  Agreement completed.  
2022-08-19 - 0:22:36 AM GMT