

Alachua County Rural Broadband Sept. 2022

Tommy Crosby, ACM

Jim Woodard, Project Manager



Purpose

• To accelerate the delivery of an affordable high-speed rural broadband network to ensure digital equity and competitive fairness in accessing on-line education, health, economic, and societal services and benefits.

Agenda

Regional Technology Planning Team

Available Technologies

Funding Opportunities

Feasibility Study

Potential Partners and Vendors

Short / Long Term Direction





Regional Technology Planning Team

ALACHUA

- Board of County Commissioners
- Library District
- Public Schools
- Department of Health
- Community Foundation
- NCFRPC
- City Administrators

BRADFORD

- Board of County Commissioners
- Public Schools
- City of Starke Manager

GILCHRIST

- Board of County Commissioners
- Gilchrist Fire

UNION

- Board of County Commissioners
- Public Schools
- Union County EMS
- UF/IFAS

UTILITY

- Central Florida Electric Cooperative
- Clay Electric

FIBER TRUNKS

- GRU.com
- UF Information Technology

FI. DEO Office of Broadband

- <u>https://floridajobs.org/community-planning-</u> <u>and-development/broadband/office-of-</u> <u>broadband</u>
- Speed Test is a priority
- Letters of Support from Anchor Institutions
- Completing the toolkit
- Funding Opportunities


Alachu	a County
Households	112,766
Population	247,336
Test locations	1,763
Total Tests	2,581
Percent partici	pation 1.56%
Participation g	oal (10%) 11,277
Download	
 No Service 	74 4.2%
•0-10 Mbps	470 26.7%
•10-25 Mbps	272 15.4%
•25-150 Mbps	576 32.7%
•150+ Mbps	371 21.0%
Upload	
No Service	74 4.2%
<3 Mbps	740 42.0%
3-10 Mbps	395 22.4%
10-25 Mbps	298 16.9%
25-150 Mbps	179 10.2%
> 150 Mbps	74 4.2%
Mi	n <mark>Max Med Mbp</mark> s
Download 0.0	6 796.38 32.62
Upload 0.0	1 890.04 4.61
No service reason	ns: may total>100%
Not Available	95.83%
Don`t Know How	Computer 1.39%

Too Expensive

No Computer

Copyright © 2022 by Breaking Point Solutions, LLC. All Rights Reserved 9.72%

1.39%



Fixed 1,14	7 loc	cation	S	
Download	Upload			
No Service	74	6.5%	No Service	74 6.5%
0-10 Mbps	178	15.5%	<3 Mbps	364 31.7%
10-25 Mbps	164	14.3%	3-10 Mbps	294 25.6%
25-150 Mbps	446	38.9%	10-25 Mbps	254 22.1%
150+ Mbps	285	24.8%	25-150 Mbps	133 11.6%
			> 150 Mbps	26 2.3%

Map

Satellite

Cellular 61	6 location	15		
Download		Upload		
0-10 Mbps	292 47.4%	<3 Mbps	376	61.0%
10-25 Mbps	108 17.5%	3-10 Mbps	101	16.4%
25-150 Mbps	130 21.1%	10-25 Mbps	44	7.1%
150+ Mbps	86 14.0%	25-150 Mbps	46	7.5%
		> 150 Mbps	48	7.8%

(301)



Available Tech

Fiber to the Home (FTTH)

Coaxial Cable

DSL (Copper)

Fixed Wireless (Towers)

Low Earth Orbiting Satellites (LEOS)

September 27, 2022

Funding Opportunities

American Rescue Plan - Bocc \$15

ReConnect – USDA 50/50 Grant/Loan up to \$25M/\$25M

Broadband, Equity, Access and Deployment (BEAD) -U.S. Commerce

Affordable Connectivity Program -

Rural Infrastructure & Broadband Opportunity Grants FDEO

Customer Fees

September 27, 2022

The way to get started is to quit talking and begin doing.

Walt Disney



Feasibility Study

Alachua County Fiber Deployment Feasibility Study


September 22, 2022




Analysis Scope & Illustration of Project Segmentation

Map I

Geographies reflecting the 5 defined grant eligible build sector areas.



Archer, Hawthorne, Island Grove/Cross Creek, Micanopy/Evinston, Newberry (not town) Map 2 Geographies reflecting the first and second phases of a potential deployment.




Non-RC4 Grant eligible markets included in the Segment Two category beyond the grant areas detailed on Map I are: Arno, Earleton, High-Springs, Jonesville-Tioga, La Crosse, Melrose, Newberry, Orange Heights, Rochelle, Santa Fe, Waldo, and Windsor – The 5 grant, and 12 non-grant areas are highlighted in yellow.

Analysis Scope & Illustration of Project Segmentation

Map I

Geographies reflecting the 5 defined grant eligible build sector areas.



Archer, Hawthorne, Island Grove/Cross Creek, Micanopy/Evinston, Newberry (not town)

RUS Reconnect Program – Round 4

Federal program designed to enable service to areas with service below 100/20Mbps. Areas selected based on:

Relative density – key to creating sustainable business case and limiting level of grant dollars necessary to produce such an outcome. Ability to create a lucid and foundational engineering-based network design. Greatest number of locations that fit within maximum specified program award - \$50M

Area Profile:

8,654 locations passed 551 fiber route miles ~\$51M CAPEX 55% Market Share – 2 year ramp

Project / Market Name	Homes Passed
Archer	2,088
Hawthorne	2,039
Island Grove/Cross Creek	684
Micanopy/Evinston	1,272
Newberry	2,571

Analysis Scope & Illustration of Project Segmentation

Adding Non-Grant Ares – End State – Phase II

Phase II layers in second set of markets that are non-eligible grant areas, but... Have reasonable density Layers in well with Phase I builds Absence of fiber-based options


Project / Market Name	Homes Passed
Arno	1,627
Earleton	639
High Springs	2,987
Jonesville-Tioga	4,583
La Crosse	190
Melrose	332
Newberry Town	2,506
Orange Heights	507
Rochelle	99
Santa Fe	245
Waldo	723
Windsor	315

Area Profile:

14,753 locations passed.
463 fiber route miles
~\$53M CAPEX
40% Market share – 3-year ramp.

Map 2

Geographies reflecting the first and second phases of a potential deployment.



Non-RC4 Grant eligible markets included in the Segment Two category beyond the grant areas detailed on Map I are: Arno, Earleton, High-Springs, Jonesville-Tioga, La Crosse, Melrose, Newberry, Orange Heights, Rochelle, Santa Fe, Waldo, and Windsor – The 5 grant, and 12 non-grant areas are highlighted in yellow.

Financial Modeling

PHASE I

- With Reconnect 4 grant only.
- Positive Operating Income in Year 2.
- Positive Cash Flow in Year 2
- Cumulative positive Cash Flow Year 2

PHASE I & 2 COMBINED

- Without additional grant consideration
- Positive Operating Income in Year 6
- Positive Cash Flow in Year 2
- Cumulative positive Cash Flow not realized during 15-year term

Timeline



Alternative Scenario

Option I

Option 2*

Alachua Deploys Network and Provides Services to its Citizenry Alachua Deploys Network and Leases Capacity to Existing Retail Internet Service Providers (ISP's)

Option 3*

Alachua Partners With ISP to Channel ARPA Dollars and Grant Proceeds to ISP Who Builds Network and Offers Services

Ultimate path can change as the process plays out.

*Any modifications to stature / positioning must be compliant with program rules.


Current Market Activity

• Vast majority of the Electric Co-ops in Florida are considering supplying high speed broadband to their customers.

• Central Florida Electric Co-op and Tri-County Co-op have announced deploying high-speed broadband.


• At least 5 more are in exploration of providing the service.

FLORIDA ELECTRIC COOPERATIVES ASSOCIATION



Utility Providers

- Working with Electric Cooperatives
- Right of way and infrastructure
- Public Ownership
- Operation and Maintenance
- Billing & Customer Service
- Financial Viability





Action Request

Establish direction for rural broadband deployment in Alachua County. It is staff recommendation to begin a deployment with Alachua County as the infrastructure owner and potential ISP and work to establish middle mile partners, internet connection points and utility cooperatives, acquire Federal grants, and prepare a detailed deployment plan to include a cost estimate and final business case with a financially sustainable plan

Thank You

Tommy Crosby, Assistant County Manager

tcrosby@alachuacounty.us

Website address

